

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,894	10/29/2003	Hideki Morikaku	Q78196	4515	
23373 7	590 07/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			GUSHI,	GUSHI, ROSS N	
SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		2833		
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/200	DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/694,894	MORIKAKU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ross N. Gushi	2833			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		,				
1)(🛇	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{7/8}{0.5}$					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🕅 Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) $\frac{2-10}{}$ is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[2]	6) U Claim(s) W is/are rejected / /3 /7					
7) 🖸	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 11,12,14-16,18-20					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔀 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
· —	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,			

Application/Control Number: 10/694,894

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/25/05 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in -
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a);

Claims 1, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by JP 57-65557 ("Matsushita").

Per claim 1, Matsushita discloses an electric rotating machine comprising: a rotating element (implicit), a first bracket (at 3), a second bracket (at 2) facing outward, an output terminal board (at 5) mounted on the first bracket of the electric rotating

Art Unit: 2833

machine, and output harnesses 6 connected to said output terminal board; wherein said output harnesses are connected to said output terminal board to extend in a direction opposite to the direction the second bracket faces and the brackets are spaced apart.

Per claim 13, an output line from a stator coil and a terminal from said output terminal board are connected to each other (implicit).

Per claim 17, the output harnesses form a three phase configuration (implicitly, the harnesses are capable of three phase operation).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 13, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karlsberger in view of Lewis.

Per claim 1, Karlsberger discloses an electric rotating machine comprising: a rotating element (implicit, not shown), a first bracket (see figure 1), a second bracket facing outward, an output terminal board 54 mounted on the first bracket of the electric rotating machine, and output harnesses 90 connected to said output terminal board; wherein said output harnesses are connected to said output terminal board to extend in a direction opposite to the direction the second bracket faces, and the brackets are spaced apart. To the extent that one wire 58 extend radially, Lewis discloses output harnesses extending axially away from the bracket. At the time of the invention, it

would have been obvious to replace the Karlsberger terminal board with the Lewis terminal board. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to simplify connection of the harness to the machine as taught in Lewis. Alternatively, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to locate the Lewis terminal board onto a larger bracket, as taught in Karlsberger, on the Lewis device. Such a modification would have been a matter of engineering design choice, being a rearrangement of parts without patentable significance. See In re In re Japikse, 274 F.2d 669 (CCPA 1960).

Per claim 13, an output line from a stator coil and a terminal from said output terminal board are connected to each other (implicit).

Per claim 17, the output harnesses form a three phase configuration (implicitly, the harnesses are capable of three phase operation).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims for the reasons previously noted.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/694,894 Page 5

Art Unit: 2833

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINER